

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_101067
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Assessment of Nurse Caring Behaviors and Patient Satisfaction between Chronic and Acute Patients following Surgical Treatment.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I could observe that the actual Manuscript presentation deserves a deep reformulation and must attend to several aspects of the Editor's Norms!</p> <p>Please, note that there are very significant aspects to consider:</p> <p>a) Irregular presentation of Tables, including their dimensions;</p> <p>b) Very irregular space between text and Tables;</p> <p>c) Exorbitant use of the words " patient (s) " and " behavior (s) " !!;</p> <p>d) Several problems as far as punctuation is concerned!!</p> <p>- Taking into account the important theme under consideration, I would suggest a general review of the present Manuscript in strict observance of all Editor's Norms!!</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jurandyr Santos Nogueira
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil