

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_100705
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and yield responses of three cassava varieties (<i>Manihot esculenta</i> Crantz) using two compound fertilizers in Humid tropics, Rivers state, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important as fertilizer is an important agronomic management practices that needs full understanding so as to improve yield BUT the manuscript falls short in the data collected. The most important part of the cassava is the tuber yield but the author only focussed in number of tubers while the important parameter being the size and weight of the tubers was not included. The author should seriously consider data on yield of the cassava (weight and size of tubers) 2. the title mentions yield but the refer to comment 1 above, if comment 1 is addressed then title will be okay 3. Data on yield would make the abstract complete 4. yes 5. yes 6. A bit more on the lit review to have a meaningful discussion will add weight to the manuscript. Add more references that focus on effect of fertilizer of yield 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Goitseone Malambane
Department, University & Country	Botswana University of Agriculture and Natutal Resources, Botswana