

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_100717
Title of the Manuscript:	Principals Administrative Strategies and Teachers Job Performance in Public Secondary Schools in Anambra State.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please do proofreading again for all the manuscript 2. Please mention from where your instruments (Measures), mention references 3. Fix and organize the tables again (name, lines, etc.. they should be the same), delete additional columns of them 4. Discussion need more supportive up to date references. 5. Conclusion should include all results 6. Your references need to be up to date 7. Here are some up-to date references, you can use them: (use google scholar to cite them correctly): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Investigating Characteristics of Learning Environments During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review - Enhancing Student-centered Learning through Introducing Module for STEM Development and Assessment - Reinforcement of student-centered learning through social e-learning and e-assessment - Analysis of the dominant motivation factors of reading Arabic text for students at the State Islamic University of Maulana Malik Ibrahim 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Fix grammar and check all paper again!	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdullah M. Al-Ansi
Department, University & Country	Thamar University, Yemen