

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_100065
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Exclusive Breastfeeding Practice Amongst Mothers with Children Ages Below Six Months Living in Dayah IDP Camp Mogadishu, Somalia
Type of the Article	Survey

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. yes, considering the claim that exclusive breastfeeding practices is below the WHO recommendations.</p> <p>2. yes, it is suitable</p> <p>3. no, abstract not comprehensive, needs to be revised.</p> <p>4. yes</p> <p>5. no, it is not. The author(s) should revise this article there a lot of methodological errors.</p> <p>6. references are recent but the author should arrange and cite as required by the journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, English is good.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	This article needs revision especially the methodology. The study has not shown any special findings either than what is already in literature. The author(s) did not show in writing the magnitude of the problem that warranted for this study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Doris Hagan
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana