

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_101128</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Preparation and Sensory evaluation of Ber Candy</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The abstract should indeed focus on the results found in the present research.</p> <p>2. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript were appropriate.</p> <p>3. The references should be added and up-to-date.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	That's all right.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The available literature review about the effect of different varieties of Ber on the quality of Ber products should be mentioned.</li> <li>- Italic for the scientific name.</li> </ul> <p>Materials and Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The determination of each quality and sensory evaluation should be mentioned briefly.</li> <li>- Please recheck the temperature in a cabinet drier.</li> <li>- Which acid used to compare and calculate as the acidity value.</li> <li>- Which sensory method used in the research.</li> </ul> <p>Results and Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of discussion for all results.</li> <li>- Table 1-3, The data might be presented as mean +- standard deviation with the statistic value for each data set, and the replication should be mentioned</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Wijitra Liaotrakoon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajamangala University of Technology, Thailand</b>