

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100913
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Characterization of Plant Growth Promoting Bacteria from Root Nodules of Cicer arietinum L.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Genetically engineered bacteria will enhance the crop production and manage the economic crisis Suitable title Concise abstract What is the mechanism behind in phosphate solubilisation? How does bacterial promote Agrobacterium is good plant promoters, some modified techniques may provide better results Molecular Characterization and Mineralizing Potential of Phosphorus Solubilizing Bacteria Colonizing Common Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) Rhizosphere in Western Kenya	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good Language	
Optional/General comments	Go for comparative studies for further research Comparative with other culture or crops.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Nambu Mahalakshmi
Department, University & Country	Velumanocharan Arts and Science College for Women, India