

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99855
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION OF FARMERS ABOUT CLIMATE CHANGE IN UDAIPUR DISTRICT OF RAJASTHAN
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.The subject matter being addressed in this manuscript is important for academic audience, especially in the current context of climate change, rising demand for food and changing consumption patterns. The author(s) collected interesting data which are presented in raw form. I believe that if some inferential statistics were carried out this article could generate some important insights into the phenomenon of climate change in the study area. The data could be transformed and presented in a better way, and hence some further statistical analysis would be helpful.</p> <p>2. The title is not informative enough. The author could revise it to read as “Perception of farmers about climate change impacts on agricultural produce”</p> <p>3. In the abstract it is not clear how levels of perception were measured, and again here the author could focus on how farmers perceive the phenomenon of climate change effects on their agricultural practices and actual agricultural outputs instead of talking about levels whose measurements are not clearly presented.</p> <p>4. Background to the study should introduce the problem, present its evolution briefly and highlight attempts or innovations that are being tried to address the challenge. However, the literature presented is quite limited and not very recent on the subject of interest. Similarly, methodology section is quite inadequate (study design, Description of study area, Sampling strategies deployed, Data collection instruments and variables of interest, and Analysis of data should be presented in that order).</p> <p>5. The subject of climate change and agriculture is relevant except that the depth and breadth of the study approach did not enable the researcher to deploy the rigor of science required.</p> <p>6. The author correctly referenced all cited literature on the body text, which literature is quite inadequate and hence less informative.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is fairly tackled with a few typological errors and unclear sentences that require rephrasing. I have highlighted some corrections on the body text.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript should be accepted for publication after effecting major revisions. The tables presented in the results section should be improved with limited lines. The article does not make conclusion on key findings and their implications for policy and practice.</p> <p>This work needs some major revision to qualify for this journal and be able to add new information into the already existing literature on climate change as relates to agricultural production.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pius Mbuya Nina
Department, University & Country	Uganda Martyrs University, Uganda