

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99845
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular analysis of genetic variability and relationship among Pearl Millet (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i>) genotypes by using SSR markers
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The SSR markers were used for genetic diversity studies of pearl millet. It is useful for scientific community to understand the grouping of genotypes and for DNA profiling further.</p> <p>Yes Yes with minor changes incorporated</p> <p>Sub sections of manuscript are appropriate with minor grammatical mistakes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References are sufficient but are not in a common journal format which need to be modified accordingly.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language/ English is suitable for scholarly communications, some minor language corrections made need to be incorporated in the revised script	
Optional/General comments	Acceptable for publication after minor revisions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aruna Kumari
Department, University & Country	PJTSAU, India