

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100724
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Crop Water Requirement and Crop Coefficient at Different Growth Stages of Tomato by Using Weighing Lysimeter in Raichur region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, but study taken up at particular district of Karnataka, i.e. Raichur with very narrow level of adaption and utilization of the work in the other areas.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Need to be corrected and made uniform with other sub-sections under the publication.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. very few references were cited under the project besides, Amayreh and Al-Abed, 2005; Patil, C. S. and Manickam, R., 2017 are not cited in the manuscript but placed at reference chapter.</p> <p>Reference cited and written is not as per the journal guidelines, which need to be corrected</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Use of English language in the current manuscript found to be appropriate, well written</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Reference chapter not written as per journal guidelines, further correction were indicated in the manuscript.</p> <p>Corrections/ observations were marked under the manuscript for needful adaption/corrections</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prashanth Sangannavar
Department, University & Country	India