

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100362
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Spatial Variability of Soil Properties Using Geospatial Techniques For Sustainable Agricultural Production
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community, I see that the manuscript is very important and provides good results that are very interesting for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive</p> <p>4. Yes, I see that the subsections and the structure of the manuscript are well placed.</p> <p>5. I see that this manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent, the part of the references is quite rich, is mixing between old and recent references. But I see that there are many citations in the text and they are not listed in the bibliography and vice versa, please check this.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. I see that yes, the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>All comments and recommendations are placed in the text of the manuscript, please check them.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aziz Benhamrouche
Department, University & Country	Ferhat Abbas University Setif1, Algeria