

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_100392
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION OF MICROPLASTICS IN PLASTIC BOTTLED DRINKING WATER USING LASER-INDUCED BREAKDOWN SPECTROSCOPY(LIBS) AND RAMAN SPECTROSCOPY.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is very excellent, there are no errors, and it is suitable for publication However, it was possible for the researcher to refer to the non-polar functional groups and their overlap from water samples and to classify plastic particles more broadly as they are related to health effects harmful to human health.</p> <p>Very good title no need change The abstract is arranged and concise, and does not need to be changed</p> <p>Appropriate the manuscript is scientifically correct No need new references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is very excellent, there are no errors, and it is suitable for publication However, it was possible for the researcher to refer to the non-polar functional groups and their overlap from water samples and to classify plastic particles more broadly as they are related to health effects harmful to human health. It was possible for the researcher to refer to the non-polar functional groups and their overlap from water samples and to classify plastic particles more broadly as they are related to health effects harmful to human health.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reyam Naji Ajmi
Department, University & Country	Al-Mustansaryah University, Iraq