

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_99961
Title of the Manuscript:	SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC STUDY OF KINETICS OF REDOX REACTION BETWEEN CAFFEINE AND PERMANGANATE ION IN AQUEOUS ACIDIC MEDIUM
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 Yes this manuscript is important for the scientific community Yes it is very much appropriate. Abstract needs modification. It is not properly written. Yes both are fine. Yes Recent references are too less. Additional recent references can be added.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
Optional/General comments	There are many sentences in the manuscript that are not giving proper meaning due to improper construction. Grammatical mistakes are there. Wherever it requires correction , it is highlighted in red.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jayanti Mukherjee
Department, University & Country	CMR College of Pharmacy, JNTUH, India