

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_99961
Title of the Manuscript:	SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC STUDY OF KINETICS OF REDOX REACTION BETWEEN CAFFEINE AND PERMANGANATE ION IN AQUEOUS ACIDIC MEDIUM
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community 2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable. 3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct medianly. 6. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 1. The English quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communications, require major attention or grammar review.	
Optional/General comments	 1. In the methodology, the equipment or materials must indicate the registered trademark TM or ® as appropriate. 2. Review found several blank spaces and words together. 3. The conclusion should be written clearly and concisely.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carmen Chávez Parga
Department, University & Country	Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, México