

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JSRR_100260 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METAL CONTAMINATION OF SOIL IN MECHANIC WORKSHOP: A CASE STUDY OF NEKEDE AND ORJI IN IMO STATE, OWERRI NIGERIA. |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, but Owerri comes before Imo State ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METAL CONTAMINATION OF SOIL IN MECHANIC WORKSHOP: A CASE STUDY OF NEKEDE AND ORJI IN OWERRI, IMO STATE, NIGERIA.</p> <p>There is the need for the Author to very briefly capture the effect/s of the heavy metal contamination.</p> <p>Author should work on the upper and the lower cases.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>They are sufficient but only 3 are from 2015 – 2023.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes, but can still employ synonyms to many used words such as "due to"</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>i. The Author should compare and contrast the concentration values of the parameters/element analysed to works that have previously carried out by other scholars.</p> <p>ii. State the reasons for the high and lower concentrations at the different selected locations</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name: | Simon Stephen Mshelia |
| Department, University & Country | Federal University, Nigeria |