

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_100260
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METAL CONTAMINATION OF SOIL IN MECHANIC WORKSHOP: A CASE STUDY OF NEKEDE AND ORJI IN IMO STATE, OWERRI NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. The introduction is rich in content.</b></p> <p><b>I suggest the title should be:</b> Assessment of heavy metal contamination of soil in mechanic workshops at Noked and Orji, Owerri zone, Imo State, Nigeria.</p> <p><b>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive, except that omission and spelling errors should be checked.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, subsections and structures of the manuscript are appropriate</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct to a high extent.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, however, two references were added in the body and reference section of manuscript. I suggest you should add</b> (1) Nwaka, B.U., Awiri, G.O., Eze, J.O. (2022). Analyses of physicochemical characteristics and heavy metals risk assessment in locally processed salt and refined iodized salt brand consumed in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. FUNAI Journal of Science &amp; Technology, 5(1):48 – 63.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Kindly follow the author's guide in preparing your manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nwaka, Benjamin Uchechukwu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alvan Ikoku Federal College of Education, University of Nigeria, Nigeria</b>