

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_99310
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF HEALTH PROMOTION STRATEGIES ON THE LEVEL OF CLEAN AND HEALTHY LIVING BEHAVIOR (PHBS) IN HOUSEHOLD SETTINGS IN PENANGGALAN SUB-DISTRICT OF SUBULUSSALAM
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The topic is important, providing important information to the region, as the topic of lifestyle and clean environment needs attention.</p> <p>The title is long, but appropriate to the content.</p> <p>An abstract is generally appropriate. At first, you don't understand what blood zones I, II, etc. mean, but it's also difficult to explain it differently. Indonesia could be added to the keywords and the spelling error corrected.</p> <p>The structure of the article is appropriate. The Introduction is short and does not include references and text in the discussion.</p> <p>Research methodology, sample, research instrument, research analysis and results are thoroughly explained. The research is interesting.</p> <p>Reference sources should be introduced already in the introduction. The discussion and conclusion are comprehensive and present the importance of the research sufficiently.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The use of language is appropriate, correct.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>An important study for the country of Indonesia, which also provides important information to those interested in the field. The voluminous research is sufficiently correct, unfortunately the introduction is short and needs to be added.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maarika Veigel
Department, University & Country	Tallinn University, Estonia