

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_99551
Title of the Manuscript:	Resilience in the Face of Seismic Challenges: Design of Earthquake-Resistant Tunnel Supports
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes No. what are the significant findings(%)? No. More latest relevant studies need to be cited. force profile? Verification study is necessary to Ref. of all equations should be indicated. list of notations need to be added show the validity of the proposed models. All of the F.E. Models, Material models, loading, Boundary condition, interface elements, etc. need to be presented adequately. Quality of figures are not adequate. Specific findings need to be presented. Such findings reflect the significance of the present study. No No	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	More comments are included in the attachment	
Optional/General comments	Full proofreading is necessary	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qasim M. Shakir
Department, University & Country	University of Kufa, Iraq