

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_100303</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE DISAGGREGATED IMPACT OF FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENT ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF MONETARY POLICY IN NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original research</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>The abstract is good, it represents the paper in its parts. [needs proofreading as suggested]</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction and background are appropriate, clear, and streamlined. However, not all quoted statements are fully validated with relevant references. Therefore, more references are needed as marked in the attached manuscript. This section prepares the paper well for the next part fulfilling the objectives</p> <p>The literature review is appropriate, and clear, but not supported effectively with the references!!! As marked in red, all citations are not reported leading to unethical use of Inflation of citations... careful, add them</p> <p>The methodology is clear and the mathematical modelling details are clear as well supported with relevant design parts. Need to support with reported ref...</p> <p>The results section is clear, through Tables &amp; Figures. Enough to support the discussion of the findings</p> <p>The discussion is clear, well-written, and streamlined. The discussion part fulfills the objectives of the paper</p> <p>The conclusion and recommendations are adequate.</p> <p><b>Review carefully the write-up of the references for consistency, completeness, and to match the journal requirements. Make sure all references are used in the text otherwise remove. Major problem of not adding the citations!!! Avoid unethical use of Inflation of Citations</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon