

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_100893
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE OF FARMERS TOWARDS IMPROVED CULTIVATION PRACTICES OF GINGER IN SERCHHIP DISTRICT OF MIZORAM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes The references are too less more recent advances should be added and they should be cited in the main text.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, Some grammatical corrections are required as mentioned in the manuscript as comments.	
Optional/General comments	The work is interesting however the manuscript needs revision. The analysis procedure has not been mentioned in details, the questionnaire has not been enclosed the methodology need to be elaborated so that it becomes convincing to the reader.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahul Banerjee
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute, India