

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_99365
Title of the Manuscript:	SPATIAL RHYTHM AND PERIODIC PATTERN OF FISH SPECIES IN ENIONG RIVER, SOUTH EASTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the study is interesting and good to be published in the journal as a research article including the scientific community. Authors have provided very comprehensive discussion.</b></p> <p><b>The current topic is suitable for the publication.</b></p> <p>The abstract is well organised and comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, authors have provided suitable subsections and structures.</p> <p>Yes, In addition, authors should give clear attention to the data representation please follow the track changes comments provided in the MS.</p> <p>Further authors should give their attention to the graphical presentation of the data and should give attention to the writing style and recommend to check with a native speaker. <b>Further, I would suggest authors provide a plate of fishes images with the very important individuals from the selected area based on the conservation status.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	Other Minor comments and suggestions are provided with the manuscript with track changes.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Authors have to strictly adhere to journal guidelines carefully. Therefore, please recheck the journal guidelines and reference inside the paper to make all these correct properly. Reference are should be rechecked and arranged into one format.</b></p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>H. K. S. de Zoysa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajarata University of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka</b>