

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100161
Title of the Manuscript:	Edible coatings: Innovation to Improve the Shelf Life of Guava
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>As far as I could observe, the present Manuscript deserves to adjust all dimensions of Tables and Figures, in consonance with the main text and <u>in plain observance to all Editor Norms !!</u></p> <p>Also, should be observed the appropriate spaces between each text section and the following one or corresponding attached Tables or Figures.</p> <p>I would suggest a complete review of the present Manuscript, including the general Format of the Section: "Reference(s)!"</p> <p>a) General: Improper use of 'spaces' before almost all usual punctuation and words {Ex: - See 'Keywords'} ;</p> <p>b) The Abstract has been written using a different style and 'Right Margin'; Also observe: "...."The mean age of the patients was 4312.5 years." {Sic.}</p> <p>c) Observe the appropriate use of "figure" and "Figure" and <u>their mistaken number correspondence in the main text and the Figures themselves!</u></p> <p>d) Please, review the inappropriate partition of Table 2: Page 4/5;</p> <p>e) DISCUSSION: ... Observe terms: "africaines4" and ... "dégénérative5". ... in french... instead of english...</p> <p>f) Several incomplete informations like: [6 [7..... [8 [9 [11 [13 etc</p> <p>g) The main text do not present an unified Format ! - Observe: Part of it is "Centralized" and the item "References" presents a different letter dimensions!</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jurandy S. Nogueira
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal da Bahia, Brazil