

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_100486</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>“Effect of Nitrogen and Plant growth Regulator on Yield and Economics of Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)”</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Abstract must be improved.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>More valuable and recent references must added.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is not recommended to accept as it is. The followings suggestions must be incorporated in the manuscript to accept it.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The abstract lacks significant conclusion.</li> <li>2. In Introduction section there should be information about Plant growth regulators (CCC – 0.2%, Tebuconazole – 0.1% and CCC – 0.2% + Tebuconazole – 0.1%).</li> <li>3. In materials and methods section there must be formula to determine all parameters.</li> <li>4. Discussion must be enriched with recent remarks.</li> <li>5. Conclusion must be rewritten including significance of research.</li> <li>6. The reference must be updated.</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kiran Gupta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Siddharth University, India</b>