

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_99399
Title of the Manuscript:	PROCESSING AND ORGANOLEPTIC TEST OF SALTED ANCHOVIES IN THE FIELD AREA OF KATAPANG DOYONG PANGANDARAN
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1-Yes, this study aimed to evaluate the knowledge, perception and practices regarding salted anchovies consumption in Pangandaran Regency, West Java, Indonesia. It is a study on consumer behaviours. The study is important, but confusingly written.</p> <p>2- The title is concise.</p> <p>3- No, the abstract should include objectives, methods, findings, and conclusions.</p> <p>4- No. This is a sensory evaluation on salted anchovies consumption. Material and method should be explained correctly.</p> <p>5- Please try to answer the following questions while re-editing the main text. The survey was conducted in cities, towns and villages, that is, in a very large area. How did they persuade participants to participate in the survey? Has a small preliminary study been done for the survey questions? Which statistical method was used for the evaluation? Figure 2 should be prepared in English.</p> <p>6- References are sufficient and recent. The following references could not be found</p> <p>Erawati CM and Putri LO, (2019). The Effect of Low Sodium Salt on Low Sodium Salty Fish (<i>Scomberomorus guttatus</i>). <i>Journal of Food Technology and Nutrition</i>, 18 (2): 74-83.</p> <p>Dendi, G., Riza, T., & Edwin, B. (2021). Oranoleptic Test and Acceptability of Mousse Products Based on Tapai Cassava as an Umkm Commodity in Bandung Regency. Bandung Regency. <i>Journal of Research Innovation</i>, 1(10), 2883-2888.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No, the manuscript should be review the manuscript by English native speaker	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Celile Aylin Oluk
Department, University & Country	Eastern Mediterranean Agriculture Research Institute, Türkiye