

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_100741
Title of the Manuscript:	THE PERFORMANCE OF L-THEANINE AS AN IMMUNOSIMULANT TO REDUCE STRESS LEVELS IN MOTIVANT FISH ( Helostoma temminkii )
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community</b></p> <p><b>Title : Acceptable</b>  <b>Abstract : Abstract should present introduction, purpose, methods, results and conclusions</b>  <b>Introduction : Before the purpose, state the previous studies conducted by other people or same authors for other biota and or same biota</b>  <b>Methodology : Acceptable, but must be add more</b>  <b>Results and Discussions : Compare to other previous studies have been conducted by other researchers or same researcher, in other organism or same organism</b></p> <p><b>Yes, this manuscript is scientifically correct</b></p> <p>The formatting does not respect the Journals requirements. Please see the model on our website and make the necessary adjustments." please add more references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	sufficient, but needs to be improved	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adam Robisalmi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indonesia</b>