

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_100763
Title of the Manuscript:	Identify the Small Pelagic Fish Catches Area in the Western Season Associated with Surface Flows Patterns in the Spermonde Archipelago of Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Manuscript upgrades the information and knowledge of scientific community.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Structure is appropriate</p> <p>Manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>References are not sufficient please furnish more recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English needs to be improved as there are lot of grammatical errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Some improvements needs to be incorporated which are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Literature survey is inadequate please add more references. Add research gap and mention the purpose/objective of this research after literature survey. Elaborate the RMA2 module (brief intro) its purpose and methodology. Rewrite the conclusion section (point wise). 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasir Zulfiqar
Department, University & Country	Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Pakistan