

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_99984
Title of the Manuscript:	STRUCTURAL ELUCIDATION AND ANTIMICROBIAL ANALYSIS OF BIOACTIVE COMPOUNDS FROM AMARANTHUS SPINOSUS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) yes</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)yes</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? yes</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? yes</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?yes, after making the minor revision</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. yes</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The study was conducted on a <i>Amaranthus spinosus</i> which is a medicinal plant, showed that the methanolic leaf extracts have both antibacterial and antifungal properties</p> <p>2. The introduction should include a sufficient definition of the plant and the definition of the active compounds it contains and its therapeutic properties.</p> <p>3. There are some typos and format errors need to be checked, for example when the authors referred to references within the text, such as Morudali et al., 2017. The et al should be written in italics ,and when writing the scientific name of the plant in the conclusions, it should be written in italics</p> <p>4. I think that the discussion needs more explanations of the result obtained.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? yes, after checking some typos and format errors</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dhia Ahmed Taain</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq</b>