

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_102404
Title of the Manuscript:	Black Seeds (<i>Nigella sativa</i>) for the Management of COVID-19 in Pregnant and Breastfeeding Women- An Insight
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. I consider that the manuscript offers little information about the management of COVID-19. It is very delicate to talk about clinical studies and the authors talk more about in vitro and animal studies than clinical studies. In addition, the bibliography that they occupy on clinical studies is more than 10 years old.</p> <p>2. Yes, It is</p> <p>3. I think that the abstract could be clearer and more direct, in addition to including a small introduction on the nature of the seeds.</p> <p>4. In my opinion, the subsections are not properly distributed, because in some there is too much information (which is the one that is not so interesting because it is the information in vitro or in animals) and in others, the information is very brief (which is what indicates clinical results).</p> <p>5. No, I don't</p> <p>6. I think authors should review more recent literature, for example Babar's 2020 article on seed toxicity. International Journal of Halal Research ISSN 2721-7868, Vol. 2, No. 2, December 2020, pp. 70-77</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	María de Guadalupe Muñoz Arenas
Department, University & Country	Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Mexico