

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_102404
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Black Seeds (Nigella sativa) for the Management of COVID-19 in Pregnant and Breastfeeding Women- An Insight</b>
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) It is important to explore any therapeutic agent for use against COVID and other viruses.</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) yes</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? yes</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? yes</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> yes <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This is a useful review of a common food, black seeds, that have been used for centuries in traditional medicine. The review is thorough and Scientifically sound. It should be published after a few typos are fixed.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? It can be improved.</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>James Adams</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>USA</b>