

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_103957
Title of the Manuscript:	VOLUME AND STATUS OF AGRICULTURAL LABOURERS' MIGRATION IN BIDAR DISTRICT, KARNATAKA
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>The abstract is adequate. It shows the necessary information to indicate what the paper is about.</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction and background are adequate, clear, and streamlined. However, need to add the objectives of the study, and then add how is this paper divided!!</p> <p>Also, we have problems with writing the citations and reporting them correctly. Citations must be reported in ref list. Many citations are not found in the ref. list?</p> <p>The methodology representation needs to be worked out better. The formula at the end of the section is needed? Citations must be reported in the ref list.</p> <p>The results are clear, with adequate data tables. Good to support the discussion.</p> <p>The discussion is adequate, clear, and streamlined. It is validated by the reported literature. However, citations are not reported adequately and this section needs a good proofreading and editing [see suggestions in the attached Manuscript]</p> <p>The conclusion is adequate. Limitations are not reported??? Must add</p> <p>You have a major problem in writing citations and used wrong written names and wrong references!!! Must be careful, look at all the corrections... However, still was not able to locate a couple!!! All references marked in RED are extra and must be removed from the list to avoid unethical use of inflation of references....</p> <p>Proofreading is needed [see suggestions]</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, Unethical inflation of citations and references. Must be solved immediately to remove such an issue.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon