

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103659
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of boron and molybdenum on growth and yield of black gram (Vigna mungo L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is interesting and important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable and corresponds to the content of the article.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive and closely reflects the provisions of the article</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient, but the earliest reference is dated 2017.</p> <p>Soil characteristics and information about repetitions of the tests described better in Abstract, then in Materials and Methods. It would be desirable to provide information on the prevalence of boron and molybdenum in soils and justify the treatment of plants with named elements.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It would be better to provide the article with information on the prevalence of boron and molybdenum in soils as well as add soil characteristics and information about repetitions of the tests from Abstract to Materials and Methods. Some references dated 2020-2023 should be added.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elena Nefed'eva
Department, University & Country	Volgograd State Technical University, Russia