

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103872
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Silkworm (Bombyx mori L.) Breeds to Temperature and BmNPV Stress
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is of interest to the scientific community in the field of selection of breeds for the creation of silkworm hybrids resistant to the disease of nuclear polyhedrosis;</p> <p>2. The title of the article fits the topic of the study;</p> <p>3. Abstract, exhaustive;</p> <p>3. The subsections correspond to the structure of the manuscript;</p> <p>4. The manuscript is scientifically correct;</p> <p>6. The links are sufficient and fresh.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the article is suitable for scientific publications in English.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In all major national collections of silkworm breeds (China, Japan, India, Uzbekistan, Bulgaria, etc.), screening of breeds for resistance to nuclear polyhedrosis was carried out at different times. If newly bred breeds are studied in the manuscript, then this should be indicated in the methodology. It was also desirable, as a control, to screen some breeds without infection for the effect of stress factors on the induction of latent nuclear polyhedrosis virus. The discussion of the results obtained should be given after the tables.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mirzakhodjaev Bakhtiyar Anvarovich
Department, University & Country	Scientific Research Institute of Sericulture, Uzbekistan