

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_103283
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and Characterization of PEDOT: PSS-PA with good electrical conductivity for supercapacitor
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes In this paper, the authors prepared and characterized a series of PSS substituted with alkyl sulfonate (PSS-co-PA3, PSS-co-PA5, PSS-co-PA10, PSS-co-PA30, and PSS-b-PA30), poly(styrene sulfonate-co-sulfopropyl acrylate)s, poly(styrene sulfonate-b-sulfopropyl acrylate), proceeding to prepare additive-free PEDOT:PSS/rGO aerogels with different amounts of alkyl sulfonate functional groups that could be applied to supercapacitor electrodes.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? Yes</p> <p>2. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? No</p> <p>3. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>4. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</p> <p>5. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. NO</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract section: Some results should be included in this section Introduction section: Some typos should be corrected. the novelty of this study should be added Figure 4: Please add the error bar The reference insufficient this section needs more recent citations and need to update the present references The English of the manuscript should be revised and improved. Scheme 1 should be clarified 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Need English edition</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seham S. Alterary
Department, University & Country	King Saud University, Saudi Arabia