



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I feel that the title is not very clear, it should state the geographical location of the study. 2. The methodology is poorly written. For example, the location of the study is missing, the exact duration is not mentioned, the sample size selection (including and excluding criteria is missing) 3. abbreviations (ICMR & VRDL?) should be elaborated. 4. any ethical clearance to use the data? 5. Were covid detection carried out by the authors or only results were collected from ICMR? Please clearly state how samples were collected, tested and reported. 6. The manuscript requires a thorough grammatical check. 	<p>The title of the article has been modified to include the geographical location of study population– East Delhi</p> <p>The location has been mentioned – Page 3, line 58-59. Duration of the study has been mentioned in abstract as well as methodology – Page 3 i. e. data of dengue testing taken from 2019-2021. The study is a retrospective observational study which only used the data regarding positive/negative testing hence, no inclusion – exclusion criteria was required.</p> <p>Terms have been removed from the manuscript.</p> <p>No, there is no ethical issue as information used does not disclose any personal information of any patient.(Page 3, line 70-71) The data is also available on public domain surveillance website.</p> <p>The covid testing was carried out in the Department of Microbiology, UCMS & GTBH, Delhi as it was declared a covid-19 testing center. The data was submitted to government authorities as per mandated guidelines.</p> <p>It has been read and reviewed.</p>