

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_102750
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical and Morphological Analysis of Millet Yoghurt Powder: Characterization and Quality Evaluation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community 2. Yes, it is. 3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive. 4. Yes, the subsection and structure of the manuscript are appropriate 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct, 6. the references is not sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language is quality	
Optional/General comments	I think the article is accepted after the required comments but the references is not sufficient and the discussion is not found in article	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanaa A. Abokoura
Department, University & Country	Soils, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt