

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_102750
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical and Morphological Analysis of Millet Yoghurt Powder: Characterization and Quality Evaluation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes Yes Yes Yes No, there is no discussion with respect of findings of other authors. There were various errors in the text which I have corrected and the corrected manuscript is attached herewith. The authors should add the discussion part, add more references and Materials and Methodology may be reduced, just give the name of the method and reference with short description	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision The authors should add the discussion part, add more references and Materials and Methodology may be reduced, just give the name of the method and reference with short description Language is acceptable	
Optional/General comments	Minor revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amar P. Garg
Department, University & Country	School of Biological Engineering & Life Sciences, Shobhit Institute of Engineering & Technology, India