

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_103037
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Taking Behaviour as Determinant of Entrepreneurial Aspiration of Undergraduates in Tertiary Institutions in Ekiti State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1-Yes, nowadays unemployment is one of the biggest challenges in the world, especially in third world countries. Finding obstacles to entrepreneurship and providing common and correct solutions for it can contribute greatly to solving this problem.</p> <p>2-Yes</p> <p>3-No :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is better to write a short introduction • It is better to write the study design in the method section. • Inclusion and exclusion criteria should be written • Significant values should be written. • What is the amount of risk taking in general and separately for girls and boys? (P-value?) • What is the amount of entrepreneurial aspiration taking in general and separately for girls and boys? (P-value?) • From the findings mentioned in this abstract, can't draw this conclusion <p>4-No 5-Yes 6-It is better to use at least 20 references</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is better to write these research hypotheses positively • How to design the questionnaire and its characteristics (number of questions, dimensions, the domain and interpretation of scores) should be mentioned. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The results are not well and fully expressed, the following changes can be applied for relative improvement: • The mean and standard deviation values of the entrepreneurial aspiration of undergraduates of students of different centers and different genders should be mentioned in the tables. • The results related to other demographic characteristics (such as academic field, parents education and economic status) that could affect the results should be mentioned 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leila Hashemi Nasab
Department, University & Country	Kurdistan University of Medical Science, Iran