

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_102767
Title of the Manuscript:	Water Audit Assessment and Evaluation of Physicochemical Parameters of Water in Haibat Mau Mawaiya, Raibareli Road, Lucknow, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Excellent manuscript, very well written, with a detailed introduction that could help or guide other researches on water quality studies. The research data also has an excellent and very relevant presentation and the conclusion is totally adequate to the article objectives. Yes. It is perfect. Yes. Very well written, but it should include the country's name. Yes. Totally adequate. Yes. It is an excellent article. The whole structure presentation is excellent. Yes. They are adequate but add some recent references	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Fix grammatical issues	
Optional/General comments	I just think the manuscript needs a final English general review.	

Part:2

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	João Luiz de Moraes Hoefel
Department, University & Country	Centre for Sustainability and Cultural Studies, UNIFAAT University Centre, Brazil