

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_102927
Title of the Manuscript:	A RARE OCCURRENCE OF DENS EVAGINATUS WITH TWO DENS INVAGINATIONS IN A SINGLE TOOTH: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.=
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The knowledge from this case report gives some benefits to the community about the variation of the cases may occur.</p> <p>The title is good.</p> <p>The abstract is acceptable.</p> <p>This article is quite short but the structure is acceptable.</p> <p>The manuscript look scientifically ok.</p> <p>Some references quite old but has some new references.</p> <p>If author can provide more extra suitable picture and radiograph, it would be more useful.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Acceptable but need an improvement and proofreading some spelling error like (4other).</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In general this paper is good but still has some grammatical error. The author should decide whether to use standardize either English or US language. The radiograph is not the best, CBCT is better. So the author should put an arrow to show the dense invaginatus. Please add extra views from the author in the discussions about this case.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wan Zaripah Wan Bakar
Department, University & Country	School of Dental Sciences, University Sains Malaysia, Malaysia