

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_102851
Title of the Manuscript:	Dual Foreign Exchange Rate in Nigeria – Stylised Facts and Volatility Modelling
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. not enough to develop statistical theory but useful in developing practical theory</p> <p>2. yes</p> <p>3. yes</p> <p>4. i don't understand why introduction should have sub chapters</p> <p>5. yes</p> <p>6. yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>improvement in the use of grammar is still needed</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>in general, this journal is good from a statistical point of view. in terms of grammar, it still needs improvement, hope this will be an important point in future revisions. As far as I have read, this journal is only useful in practice, not in terms of theory. Maybe the author can explain its usefulness in terms of theory so I can find out, we are both studying here. This journal uses analysis techniques that are quite advanced, not boring and interesting. This journal is very useful for stakeholders involved in business related to the Interbank Foreign Exchange Market (IFEM) and Bureau De Change (BDC). It would be even better if the discussion was reviewed in more depth in order to obtain deeper and useful information.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adji Achmad Rinaldo Fernandes
Department, University & Country	University of Brawijaya, Indonesia