

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_103083
Title of the Manuscript:	Profitable Dairy Farming: Challenges of One Calf Every Year
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. I think it can be groundbreaking in areas that can be applied to the field.</p> <p>2. Appropriate.</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. adequate enough</p> <p>5. Scientific enough.</p> <p>6. References to recent papers at least 5 years old are required. /The format of the references is very different from the journal format.</p> <p>*The references part indicates that very few recently published articles are cited. Please do this suggestion effected in the revised version. *This paper said that the use of herbs could solve the problem of pregnant cows. However, it is very disappointing that there are no clinical results or pathological findings. *Therefore, we would like to have additional data on this part. *Although the content is not huge, the authors could establish what they wanted to establish. One thing should take into consideration that, how the findings will contribute to the field of study - please write in detail about this issue.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor, but there are some grammatical errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The discussion section needs more improvement focusing on the novelty of the study.</p> <p>Limitations of the study can be mentioned at the end of the Discussion section.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sang-Hwan Kim
Department, University & Country	School of Animal Life Convergence Science, Hankyong National University, Korea