

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJRAF_103019   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Harnessing the Power of Rhizosphere Bacteria for Enhanced Soil Nutrient Accessibility and Plant Nutrient Absorption |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>1. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>No. The manuscript has very few references. I suggest to add proper citations to all those case studies, tables and figures as well as several statements are the outcomes of world wide research. Otherwise, manuscript looks like chapter of a academic books.</b></p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | Check and review the language  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | I am not getting which kind of articles is this. This is not a research article and I am sure this is also not a review article because there are no sufficient literatures and their explanations. I request editor to ask this to author and mention article type in the heading.  |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Pratik Ramteke</b>                                  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, India</b> |