

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_103232
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE FACTS REGARDING COLON TRANSIT STUDY IN CHILDREN
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript can be used as a educational resource or practical quick reference.</p> <p>The tile of the manuscript is adequate to its contents.</p> <p>The abstract mirrors the structure and key contents of the main text of the manuscript.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is organized and clear enough.</p> <p>I did not identify any disqualifying flaws in the manuscript, although it is advisable to get a second review also from a specialist in paediatric gastroenterology so the merit correctness of the contents of the text can be judged directly before it is considered for publication.</p> <p>The references are numerous and reasonably recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language quality of the text is acceptable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript consists of total 9 pages, including 1 figure and the list of total 31 literature references. The figure is highly illustrative and adds extra value to the educational aspect of the article.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Robert Suslo
Department, University & Country	Wroclaw Medical University, Poland