

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJL2C_103094</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Use of Uganda's Flora and Fauna as a source of inspiration for ceramic art production</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript has very limited in scientific value.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Moderately, but very superficial. Only formally, the text appears acceptably written, but there is little substance.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>However, there are some linguistic errors that contrast sharply with how well the rest of the paper is written. Minor revisions of the following paragraphs is needed:</p> <p>E.g.:</p> <p>Uganda is a country in East Africa that boasts of rich biodiversity</p> <p>With creativity, there is no end depending on the observer and the artists himself no forgetting the time limitation where a contract or project has to stop.</p> <p>From the conclusions, very few Uganda's have little knowledge about the benefits that the country at large can get from its fauna and flora.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is interesting and creative.</p> <p>However, if the publisher so wishes, it may be published, as it is correctly written (mostly) and it is technically sound.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dragoescu Alina Andreea
Department, University & Country	University of Life Sciences, Romania