

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMPS_102880</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Healthcare Delivery in Nigeria: Traditional Medicine Practitioners perspectives to Traditional Medicine Integration and Universal Health Coverage</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The study team's efforts are appreciated.</p> <p>1. This manuscript contributes to the scientific community by shedding light on the perspectives of TMPs in Nigeria regarding the integration of traditional medicine. The findings emphasize the need for policy actions, capacity building initiatives, and improved government support to enhance the collaboration between traditional medicine and the conventional healthcare system, ultimately benefiting the overall healthcare delivery in Nigeria.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is interesting and new. But it is not clear according to the purpose of the research. It is better to change it like this: Healthcare Delivery in Nigeria: The opinions of medical professionals about the integration of traditional and conventional medicine</p> <p>3. Abstract: A. The part of results and conclusions is not well understood. What is meant this: (More than two-thirds of respondents, 241 (71.5 %) keep some forms of patient records, and only 157 (46.6 %) kept written records.) What was not record. This part needs to be rewritten. B. What documents did the report reach these results? It should be stated in the results section. Then the conclusion is made. (Salient knowledge and practice gaps among them have been revealed.) C. please add key words based on Mesh.</p> <p><b>4. Introduction:</b> <b>A.</b>In the last paragraph of the introduction, it is necessary to explain more about the need and research. <b>B.</b> Please write the purpose of the research according to the research title. <b>C. Method:</b>.1 Explain how to select samples randomly. How was the randomization done? 2. More details about the used questionnaire. Such as reliability, validity and what the questions were like. 3. There are many tables. Arrange the table appropriately. Result can be shown in less tables. It confuses the results. 5.suitable 6. Please use update references. Some of them are under 5 years.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>suitable</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pouran Varvani Farahani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Near East University, Cyprus</b>