

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_103682
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation between upper airway and lower airway function in current smokers, never smokers and former smokers.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Yes	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	The original research article was an excellent well written & organized systematically arranged. The research paper required some correction in the introduction section whereas aims or objectives should give in a single paragraph rather than any points. In addition, detailed literature review of the introduction must be given. Furthermore, result section required minor correction with presentation of significant finding either graphical or tabular pattern at least 3/4 combination. Moreover, discussion section must be specific with compare and contrast with the result finding. Finally, ethical clearance number, authors contribution, any funding, conflict of interest must be incorporated.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashek Elahi Noor
Department, University & Country	University of Dhaka, Bangladesh