

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103898
Title of the Manuscript:	Significance of Weed Flora Identification and its Management on Bt cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.) growing tract of Tungabhadra Command Area
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Weed management in cotton is a critical aspect to study in the extensively cotton grown areas and it holds a wider importance</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English writing skills can be improved in the manuscript. It feels like the manuscript was written in very general format. It can be improved much.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The weed flora which was observed only in the field have to provided in the manuscript rather than the possible weed flora under the TBP region</p> <p>2. The water required for herbicidal application can be checked, as it can create controversy among the scientific community</p> <p>3. The weeds can be categorized as broad- and narrow- leaved (grasses and sedges) rather than monocots and dicots, since the article deals the scientific problem in agricultural point of view rather than botanical view.</p> <p>4. Conclusion can be re-phrased as the wording used in the manuscript can be much more improved</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nandipamu Tony Manoj Kumar
Department, University & Country	G. B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, India