

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_103862</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Fertiliser recommendations for Pearl millet through Soil Test Crop Response-Integrated Plant Nutrition System approach on Alfisol.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This is an important manuscript for the scientific community. It gives recommendations on fertilizers to use on Alfisol and also provides educational value.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable but needs a little modification. I suggest the title below " Plant Nutrition: Fertilizer recommendations for Pearl millet through Alfisol Soil Test and the Crop's Response".</p> <p>Yes the abstract is quite comprehensive. It summaries the research work and the advantages of the fertilizer recommendations to farmers.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>Reviewing the manuscript, I can see that author put into enough research work. I can say it is scientifically correct</p> <p>The references are sufficient, but no reference was cited in Subsection 2.1 and also in the conclusion. References should be added to those parts.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the Language in the manuscript is suitable for Scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The author did a great job in performing a quality research, and gathering adequate information. It is to be applauded.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ivbarue Faithful Oshone</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ekiti State University, Nigeria</b>