

Quantitative traits loci associated for biotic and abiotic resistance in maize (*Zea Mays* L.)

ABSTRACT

Maize is an essential crop rank first, cultivated all over the world. Maize is being consumed by both humans and animals inspite that it is utilized as an industrial product viz., starch, pharmaceuticals, alcoholic beverages, oil, cosmetics, textiles, etc. In ancient times, landraces were more popular due to presence of more genetic variability, resistant to biotic and abiotic factors and have heterogeneous nature. But due to continuous use of uniform cultivars, landraces were replaced by higher yielder. Modern maize has more homogeneity which is vulnerable to any dangerous pathogen strain. In the current era of molecular markers, DNA markers play an important role to identify diverse germplasm/cultivars. To evaluate the diversity of maize, several mapping populations are developed and used for QTL mapping. Linkage mapping was first used in maize in the 1990s and is still common now along with genome-wide association mapping. Association mapping has been preferred due to the conserved historical linkage disequilibrium and elimination for the construction of a bi-parental mapping population. In this review, we focused, how much work on genome mapping has been done and what is the prospect of genome mapping.

Key words: Maize, mapping population and genome mapping

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the essential cereal crop belong to poaceae family and is being cultivated all over the world. It plays a vital source of income for the overwhelming population [1]. Maize is utilized in an industrial for the production of starch, pharmaceuticals, alcoholic beverages, oil, cosmetics, textiles, etc [2]. Due to the diverse uses of maize and its product, maize demand has increased continuously day by day all over the world [3]. Recently, hybrid maize is being widely cultivated all over the world due to its higher yield as compared to that of landraces. Maize has been grown in tropical and sub-tropical climates [4]. In ancient time, landraces were more popular among the farmers as it is highly resistant to biotic and abiotic factor due to heterogeneous nature; even though the yield was low [5]. The present cultivated form of maize is originated

from its wild relative teosinte (*Zea mays ssp. purviglumis*) but cultivated maize is quite distinguished from teosinte in terms of morphology and for several other characters [6].

The molecular markers used in several mapping populations like mortal and immortal to identify the quantitative traits loci (QTLs) [7]. The mortal population is a type of segregating, viz., F_2 population and Advanced Backcross (ABC) population while in the immortal population which will not segregate, viz., doubled haploid (DH), Recombinant inbred lines (RIL), F_2 derived lines and near isogenic lines (NIL) have been used for QTL identification [8]. The development of molecular markers plays an important role to map the QTLs. QTLs is a genomic region responsible for quantitative traits [9]. Numbers of QTLs were identified by the different researchers in maize for different traits using various molecular markers (Table 1).

2. MAPPING POPULATION

Mapping population consists of large segregating population that is derived from the sexual reproduction and used in development of linkage map. Mapping population needs diverse parents, polymorphism for one or two characteristics and should have high heritability for trait of interest [9]. The mapping population size should be approximately 250-500 for reliable construction of linkage map, in which it gives more appropriate result [10]. However, large population is necessary for high resolution of linkage map. For QTL analysis, mapping population should evaluate phenotypically before QTL study [9]. This applies for both monogenic and polygenic characters [11].

2.1. MORATAL POPULATION

2.1.1. F_2 Population

F_2 population is derived from the selfing of F_1 population or sib mating of F_1 population. F_1 population is heterozygous as their parents are differ from each other. So, in F_2 population one recombinants cycle occurred between two loci. Dominant and codominant ratio of phenotype is of 3:1 and genotypic is of 1:2:1 in F_2 . F_2 population is mainly used for preliminary study and for oligogenes. F_2 population required less time and the procedure to develop F_2 as compared to other mapping population is very easy as it required only two generations. It provides the effects of additive, dominance and epistatic variance. Xie et al., evaluated genetic map using 7613 SNPs in F_2 population and found 14 QTLs for tassel branch number (TBN), tassel weight (TW), central spike length (CSL), and meristem length (ML) [12].

2.1.2. Back Cross Population

Backcross population is developed by crossing between hybrids with either of their parents. Crossing between hybrids and recessive parent is known as testcross and have 1:1 (dominant marker) and (codominant marker) 1:0 (codominant marker) ratio in coupling phase and repulsion phase respectively. The backcross population has advantage for marker assisted back crossing of interest trait as proposed in advance backcross quantitative trait loci method [13].

2.2. Immortal Population

2.2.1. Doubled Haploid

Double haploid is produced by the chromosome doubling of a haploid using the colchicine treatment. They are completely homozygous and have all identical sets of chromosomes. Only one gene is available for all the genes. Haploid lines may develop spontaneously or produced artificially. Generally, haploid plants are sterile and have weak wealth, less vital. Choi et al., used DH lines that were developed from normal corn parents (HF1 and 11S6169) [14].

2.2.2. NIL Population

Near isogenic line (NILs) developed through backcrossing (8). Near isogenic lines are identical to recurrent parent except for one gene/locus. Practically, NILs are different for the single gene and genomic region of variable length flanking this locus. In addition, it also found different for some random genomic segments located elsewhere in the genome. Hence, a pair of NILs would most likely to differ for alleles from few to several loci which justifies the use of the term near isogenic lines for such lines. For instance, a line developed by the cross between a cultivated variety of tomato and a wild variety of tomato [13].

2.2.3. Recombinant Inbred Lines

Recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived by the inter mating of F_2 plants or sib mating progeny of F_2 individuals' population. Linkage mapping concepts using RILs was first established in mice [11]. Single seed descent lines also called RIL lines as RIL developed from each single seed of every line. RIL produced by the single seed descent method allow the self-pollination till 6-8 generations and hence, it becomes completely homozygous. In this method, there is no change in genetic makeup due to recombination in alternate parent at the same population. Thus, RILs create a permanent resource and have advantage to replicate indefinitely and could share by several groups in the research community. In studied, RILs were found better and give more appropriate result than a F_2 population [15].

3. QTL MAPPING

QTL mapping theory was described by Sax for the first time in 1923. He revealed that seed coat color (monogenic trait) decided the seed size in bean (a complex trait) [16]. He suggested that if segregation of oligogenic trait can detect the QTL that is linked with complex trait. This criterion is fulfilled by the modern QTL mapping technique [17]. The location of QTL on the whole genome gives the idea of polygenic characteristics that were involved in the expression of gene at particular time. A review is written by Miles & Wayne [18]. QTL mapping involve testing whole genome with DNA markers to know likelihood chance present of QTLs. This technique reveals the significance QTL among individuals with traits of interest [19].

Table 1: List of QTLs detected using different molecular markers

S. No.	Marker	Trait	QTL	Chr. Location	Mapping Population	Reference
01	SSR	Phosphorus treatments	69	All chr.	210, F2:3 families	20
02	SSR	Kernel row number	13	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	500, F2 Individuals	21
03	SSR	grain oil and starch	21	1,5,6,7,4,8	265 F2:3 families	22
04	SSR	Test weight	5	1,2,,3,4,5,7,	225 F2:3	23

05	SSR	Resistance to Aflatoxin	40	1,3,4,5,9,10	250, F2:3 families	24
06	SSR	Root system architecture	36	All Chr.	187 BC4F3	25
07	SSR	gray leaf spot		1,2,5,8	161 F2:3 families	26
08	SSR	plant architecture	18	1,2,3,7,9	239, RIL	27
09	SSR	kernel size and weight	55, 28	1,2,4,5,9,	270 F2:3 families	28
10	SSR	Gray leaf spot resistance	18	2, 3, 4, 5 & 8	478 F2:3 population	29
11	SSR	Ear Fasciation	65	All chr.	149 F2:3 families	30
12	SSR	protein, oil and starch contents	25, 13, 31&15	1, 2, 5, 6, 8, and 10.	498 RILs	31
13	SSR	Grain morphology traits	18, 26, 23&19	1,2,3,6,7,8,9	58, Ril	32
14	SSR	Inflorescence Architecture	19	1,2,3,4,5,6,7	202 and 218 F2:3 family	33
15	SSR	Agronomic traits	15	All chr.	121 Dh population	34
16	SSR	Maize kernel size And weight	52	1,2,3,4,5,7,8, 9,10	150 f7 rils	35
17	SSR	Forage agronomic traits	42, 41, 54, and 45	All chr.	250-720 DH and RILs	36
18	SSR	Nitrogen use efficiency (nue),	19	1,2,4,5,8,10	Recombinant inbred lines (181)	37
19	SSR	Agronomic traits	15	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10	121 Double haploid	38
20	SNP	Northern leaf blight	29	All chr.	25,Nam, ril	39
21	SNP	Southern leaf blight	32	All chr.	5000 RIL	40
22	SNP	Plant height and biomass as secondary traits of drought tolerance	23	7,8,10,4	150 F2:3 line	41
23	SSR	Kernel related trait	7	1,4,6,7,9,10	F2:3 population	42
24	SNP	Kernel Weight	23,59	All chr.	408 RILs	43
25	SNP	Fusarium ear Rot resistance	15	2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10	940 elite inbred lines	44
26	SNP	leaf morphology	111	All chr.	215, 223, 208 and 212 RILs	45
27	SNP	maize tassel	72	1,2,3,4,6,7,9	866 maize-teosinte BC2S3 RILs	46
28	SNP	ear leaf traits	23, 25, &17	1,2,3,4,6,7,8,	909 ril	47
29	SNP	Vitamin E	31	All chr.	213 F2:3	48
30	SNP	amylose biosynthesis	27	4,6,7,9	464 inbreds	49
31	SNP	Leaf Angle&Tassel Size	23	All chr.	213 F2:3 Population	50
32	SNP	Cob resistance, ear	28	1, 2, 3, 4,5,	258	51

		Rot resistance		6, 7, 9,10	Maize inbred	
33	SNP	tassel-related traits	27	All chr.	266 F2:3 families ril	52
34	SNP	Leaf morphology traits	19,83 8	All chr.	866 maize-teosinte bc2s3 RILs	53
35	SNP	Kernel size & weight	27	All except 6 and 10	204 ril lines	54
36	SNP	Salt tolerance	65	1, 3, 7, and 9,	209 DH	55
37	SNP	Delayed maize flowering in response to low Phosphate	41	2, 5, 6	262 Ril population	56
38	SNP	Water deficit- responsive	213	1,2,3,4,5,6,7, 8,9,10	267 Ril population	57
39	SNP	Dynamic plant height	68	1,2,3,4,5,6,7, 8,9,10	Inbred lines (117 temperate lines, 135 tropical lines	58
40	SNP	Tassel architecture	19	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 7	359 inbred lines and an ibm syn 10 population of 273 doubled haploid lines	59
41	SNP	Tassel-related traits	14	1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8 and 10,	148 f2 population	60
42	SNP	Plant architecture	21	All chr.	301 RILs	61
43	SNP	Disease resistance (southern leaf blight (slb), northern leaf blight (nlb), and gray leaf spot)	17	1,2,3,4,5,6,7, 8,9,10	253 RIL	62
44	RFLP	Drought tolerance	22	1,3,6,5,7,9,1 0	105,F2:3 families	63
45	RFLP & SSR	Weevil resistance	17	1,2, 3, 5, 8, and 9,	Parental population, F2 individual	64
46	RFLP,S SR	gray leaf spot disease	30	1,3,4,6,7,9,1 0	145 ril	65
47	SSR, AFLP	Aluminum Tolerance	9	2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10	350 F2:3	66

3.1. QTLs for Morphological and Agronomic Traits of Maize

The list of QTLs was identified by different researchers after 2010 is mentioned in Table 1. The plant morphology and other characters based on genetics determine the grain yield [67]. Several other quantitative trait loci were discovered for ear length, ear height ratio, ear height, plant height, cob color, kernel weight, set ear length and ear width etc. in double haploid population [14]. Wang et al study genome wide association mapping using 43,958 high-quality SNPs in 359 inbred lines and an IBM Syn 10 population of 273 doubled haploid under three environments (59).

3.2. QTLs mapping and plant disease resistance

Disease resistance has been detected with the help of genome wide association study associated with the resistance, evaluated under 3 environments [44]. Many works have been described to kernel and cob including with ear rot resistance caused by *F. verticillioides* cob rot (FCR) [51]. Diverse lines with high density markers have been conducted for common rust resistance under multiple environments and it was feasible to found QTL and several candidate genes. Zwonitzer et al 2010 investigated correlation among three diseases resistance and found highest association between SLB and GLS resistance. A significant association was found between resistance to each of the diseases and time to flowering. A total 9, 8, and 6 QTL were found for SLB, GLS, and NLB resistance respectively in maize [68].

4. Conclusion

Genomic approach is one of the most powerful tools for accelerating the knowledge of genome region. With the rapid increment of genomic technology all kinds of diversity in different environment can be assessed. Maize is one of the important cereal crops cultivated over worldwide. Multi location data will help to determine yield and yield related traits. The Maize genome presents many technical challenges, to discover quantitative trait loci in maize is difficult task, in spite that, many QTLs have been discovered for agronomical traits and biochemical traits. In this review paper, we described the details of quantitative traits loci for agronomical traits.

References

1. EARO (Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization): Post harvest food preparation, and storage of agricultural by-products, utilization, research and extension: workshop and exhibition. - May 29- June 2, 1992 EC. (in amharic). Addis Abeba, Ethiopia, 2000.
2. Rakshit S, Chikkappa GK. Perspective of maize scenario in India: way forward. *Maize Journal*, 2018; 7: 49-55.
3. Wada N, Feng C, Gulati A. Introduction, and overview. In: *Maize in Asia: Changing markets and incentives*. New Delhi: Academic Foundation; 2008.
4. Dubreuil P, Charcosset A. Relationships among maize inbred lines and populations from European and North-American origins as estimated using RFLP markers. *Theor Appl Genet*. 1999; 99: 473-480.
5. Prasanna BM, Sharma L. The landraces of maize (*Zea mays* L.): Diversity and utility. *Indian J Plant Genet Resour*. 2005; 18: 155-168.
6. Doebley J. The genetics of maize evolution. *Annu Rev Genet*. 2004; 38: 37-59.
7. Kumar J, Pratap A, Solanki RK. Basics of Molecular Genetic Mapping and QTL Analysis in Plants. *Adv. Biotechnol*, 2010; 35-52.
8. Szalma SJ, Hostert BM, LeDeaux JR, Stuber CW, Holland JB. QTL mapping with near-isogenic lines in maize. *Theor Appl Genet*. 2007; 114: 1211-1228.
9. Collard BC, Jahufer MZZ, Brouwer JB, Pang ECK. An introduction to markers, quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping and marker-assisted selection for crop improvement: the basic concepts. *Euphytica*, 2005; 142: 169-196.

10. Mohan M, Nair S, Bhagwat A, Krishna TG, Yano M, Bhatia CR, et al. Genome mapping, molecular markers and marker-assisted selection in crop plants. *Molecular breeding*, 1997; 3: 87-103.
11. Schneider K. Mapping populations and principles of genetic mapping. *The Handbook of Plant Genome Mapping: Genetic and Physical Mapping*, 2005; 1-21.
12. Xie Y, Wang X, Ren X, Yang X, Zhao R. A SNP-Based High-Density Genetic Map Reveals Reproducible QTLs for Tassel-Related Traits in Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Tropical Plant Biology*, 2019; 12: 244-254.
13. Tanksley SD, Nelson JC. Advanced backcross QTL analysis: a method for the simultaneous discovery and transfer of valuable QTLs from unadopted germplasm into elite breeding lines. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 1996; 92: 191-203.
14. Choi JK, Sa KJ, Park DH, Lim SE, Ryu SH, Park JY, et al. Construction of genetic linkage map and identification of QTLs related to agronomic traits in DH population of maize (*Zea mays* L.) using SSR markers. *Genes & genomics*, 2019; 41: 667-678.
15. Burr B, Burr FA. Recombinant inbreds for molecular mapping in maize: theoretical and practical considerations. *Trends in genetics*, 1991; 7: 55-60.
16. Semagn K, Bjørnstad Å, Xu Y. The genetic dissection of quantitative traits in crops. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 2010; 13: 16-17.
17. Bogdan M, Ghosh JK, Doerge RW. Modifying the Schwarz Bayesian information criterion to locate multiple interacting quantitative trait loci. *Genetics*, 2004; 167: 989-999.
18. Miles, C. & Wayne, M. (2008) Quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis. *Nature Education* 1(1):208
19. **Daware A, Parida, Swarup K, Tyagi, Akhilesh K. (2020), "Integrated Genomic Strategies for Cereal Genetic Enhancement: Combining QTL and Association Mapping", in Vaschetto, Luis M. (ed.), Cereal Genomics: Methods and Protocols, Methods in Molecular Biology, Springer US, vol. 2072, pp. 15–25.**
20. Li M, Guo X, Zhang M, Wang X, Zhang G, Tian Y, et al. Mapping QTLs for grain yield and yield components under high and low phosphorus treatments in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Plant Science*, 2010; 178: 454-462.
21. Lu M, Xie CX, Li XH, Hao ZF, Li MS, Weng JF, et al. Mapping of quantitative trait loci for kernel row number in maize across seven environments. *Molecular Breeding*, 2011; 28: 143-152.
22. Wang YZ, Li JZ, Li YL, Wei MG, Li XH, Fu JF. QTL detection for grain oil and starch content and their associations in two connected F2: 3 populations in high-oil maize. *Euphytica*, 2010; 174: 239-252.
23. Ding JQ, Ma JL, Zhang CR, Dong HF, Xi ZY, Xia ZL, et al. QTL mapping for test weight by using F 2: 3 population in maize. *Journal of genetics*, 2011; 90: 75-80.
24. Warburton ML, Brooks TD, Windham GL, Paul Williams W. Identification of novel QTL contributing resistance to aflatoxin accumulation in maize. *Molecular Breeding*, 2011; 27: 491-499.
25. Smith S, De Smet I. Root system architecture: insights from Arabidopsis and cereal crops. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 2012; 367: 1441-1452.

26. Zhang Y, Xu L, Fan X, Tan J, Chen W, Xu, M. QTL mapping of resistance to gray leaf spot in maize. *Theoretical and applied genetics*, 2012; 125: 1797-1808.
27. Zheng ZP, Liu XH. Genetic analysis of agronomic traits associated with plant architecture by QTL mapping in maize. *Genet Mol Res*, 2013; 12: 1243-53.
28. Liu Y, Wang L, Sun C, Zhang Z, Zheng Y, Qiu F. Genetic analysis and major QTL detection for maize kernel size and weight in multi-environments. *Theoretical and applied genetics*, 2014; 127: 1019-1037.
29. Liu L, Zhang YD, Li HY, Bi YQ, Yu LJ, Fan XM, et al. QTL mapping for gray leaf spot resistance in a tropical maize population. *Plant Disease*, 2016; 100: 304-312.
30. Mendes-Moreira P, Alves ML, Satovic Z, Dos Santos JP, Santos JN, Souza JC, et al.. Genetic architecture of ear fasciation in maize (*Zea mays*) under QTL scrutiny. *PLoS One*, 2015; 10: 0124543.
31. Zhang H, Jin T, Huang Y, Chen J, Zhu L, Zhao Y, et al. Identification of quantitative trait loci underlying the protein, oil and starch contents of maize in multiple environments. *Euphytica*, 2015; 205: 169-183.
32. Raihan MS, Liu J, Huang J, Guo H, Pan Q, Yan J. Multi-environment QTL analysis of grain morphology traits and fine mapping of a kernel-width QTL in Zheng58x SK maize population. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 2016; 129: 1465-1477.
33. Zhao X, Peng Y, Zhang J, Fang P, Wu B. Mapping QTLs and meta-QTLs for two inflorescence architecture traits in multiple maize populations under different watering environments. *Molecular Breeding*, 2017; 37: 1-18.
34. Choi JK, Sa KJ, Park DH, Lim SE, Ryu SH, Park JY, et al. Construction of genetic linkage map and identification of QTLs related to agronomic traits in DH population of maize (*Zea mays* L.) using SSR markers. *Genes & genomics*, 2019; 41: 667-678.
35. Lan T, He K, Chang L, Cui T, Zhao Z, Xue J, et al. QTL mapping and genetic analysis for maize kernel size and weight in multi-environments. *Euphytica*, 2018; 214: 1-12.
36. Leng P, Ouzunova M, Landbeck M, Wenzel G, Eder J, Darnhofer B, et al. Quantitative trait loci mapping of forage agronomic traits in six mapping populations derived from European elite maize germplasm. *Plant Breeding*, 2018; 137: 370-378.
37. Mandolino CI, D'andrea KE, Olmos SE, Otegui ME, Eyherabide GH. Maize nitrogen use efficiency: QTL mapping in a US Dent x Argentine-Caribbean flint RILs population. 2018.
38. Choi JK, Sa KJ, Park DH, Lim SE, Ryu SH, Park JY, et al. Construction of genetic linkage map and identification of QTLs related to agronomic traits in DH population of maize (*Zea mays* L.) using SSR markers. *Genes & genomics*, 2019; 41: 667-678.
39. Poland JA, Bradbury PJ, Buckler ES Nelson RJ. Genome-wide nested association mapping of quantitative resistance to northern leaf blight in maize. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2011; 108: 6893-6898.
40. Kump KL, Bradbury PJ, Wisser RJ, Buckler ES, Belcher AR, Oropeza-Rosas MA, et al. Genome-wide association study of quantitative resistance to southern leaf blight in the maize nested association mapping population. *Nature genetics*, 2011; 43: 163-168.

41. Lu Y, Xu J, Yuan Z, Hao Z, Xie C, Li X, et al. Comparative LD mapping using single SNPs and haplotypes identifies QTL for plant height and biomass as secondary traits of drought tolerance in maize. *Molecular Breeding*, 2012; 30: 407-418.
42. Peng B, Li Y, Wang Y, Liu C, Liu Z, Tan W, et al. QTL analysis for yield components and kernel-related traits in maize across multi-environments. *Theoretical and applied genetics*, 2011; 122: 1305-1320.
43. Alvarez Prado S, López CG, Senior ML, Borrás L. The genetic architecture of maize (*Zea mays* L.) kernel weight determination. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, 2014 4: 1611-1621.
44. Chen J, Shrestha R, Ding J, Zheng H, Mu C, Wu J, et al. Genome-wide association study and QTL mapping reveal genomic loci associated with Fusarium ear rot resistance in tropical maize germplasm. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, 2016; 6: 3803-3815.
45. Ku L, Ren Z, Chen X, Shi Y, Qi J, Su H, et al. Genetic analysis of leaf morphology underlying the plant density response by QTL mapping in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Molecular breeding*, 2016; 36: 1-16.
46. Xu G, Wang X, Huang C, Xu D, Li D, Tian J, et al. Complex genetic architecture underlies maize tassel domestication. *New Phytologist*, 2017; 214: 852-864.
47. Wang H, Liang Q, Li K, Hu X, Wu Y, Wang H, et al. QTL analysis of ear leaf traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.) under different planting densities. *The Crop Journal*, 2017; 5: 387-395.
48. Fenton ME, Owens BF, Lipka AE, Ortiz D, Tiede T, Mateos-Hernandez M, et al. High-density linkage mapping of vitamin E content in maize grain. *Molecular breeding*, 2018; 38: 1-14.
49. Li C, Huang Y, Huang R, Wu Y, Wang W. The genetic architecture of amylose biosynthesis in maize kernel. *Plant biotechnology journal*, 2018; 16: 688-695.
50. Liu X, Hao L, Kou S, Su E, Zhou Y, Wang R, et al. High-density quantitative trait locus mapping revealed genetic architecture of leaf angle and tassel size in maize. *Molecular Breeding*, 2019; 39: 1-14.
51. Mu C, Gao J, Zhou Z, Wang Z, Sun X, Zhang X, et al. Genetic analysis of cob resistance to *F. verticillioides*: another step towards the protection of maize from ear rot. *Theoretical and applied genetics*, 2019; 132: 1049-1059.
52. Yi Q, Liu Y, Zhang X, Hou X, Zhang J, Liu H, et al. Comparative mapping of quantitative trait loci for tassel-related traits of maize in F_2 and RIL populations. *Journal of genetics*, 2018; 97: 253-266.
53. Fu Y, Xu G, Chen H, Wang X, Chen Q, Huang C, et al. QTL mapping for leaf morphology traits in a large maize-teosinte population. *Molecular Breeding*, 2019; 39: 1-13.
54. Hao D, Xue L, Zhang Z, Cheng Y, Chen G, Zhou G, et al. Combined linkage and association mapping reveal candidate loci for kernel size and weight in maize. *Breeding science*, 2019; 18185.
55. Luo M, Zhang Y, Chen K, Kong M, Song W, Lu B, et al. Mapping of quantitative trait loci for seedling salt tolerance in maize. *Molecular Breeding*, 2019; 39: 1-12.
56. Ren Z, Zhang X, Liu H, Liu W, Nie Z, Liu D, et al. QTL analysis of delayed maize flowering in response to low phosphate across multi-environments. *Euphytica*, 2019; 215: 1-14.

57. Virilouvet L, El Hage F, Griveau Y, Jacquemot MP, Gineau E, Baldy A, et al. Water deficit-responsive QTLs for cell wall degradability and composition in maize at silage stage. *Frontiers in plant science*, 2019; 488.
58. Wang X, Zhang R, Song W, Han L, Liu X, Sun X, et al. Dynamic plant height QTL revealed in maize through remote sensing phenotyping using a high-throughput unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). *Scientific reports*, 2019; 9: 1-10.
59. Wang Y, Chen J, Guan Z, Zhang X, Zhang Y, Ma L, et al. Combination of multi-locus genome-wide association study and QTL mapping reveals genetic basis of tassel architecture in maize. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*, 2019; 294: 1421-1440.
60. Xie Y, Wang X, Ren X, Yang X, Zha R. A SNP-Based High-Density Genetic Map Reveals Reproducible QTLs for Tassel-Related Traits in Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Tropical Plant Biology*, 2019; 12: 244-254.
61. Yi Q, Hou X, Liu Y, Zhang X, Zhang J, Liu H, et al. QTL analysis for plant architecture-related traits in maize under two different plant density conditions. *Euphytica*, 2019; 215: 1-25.
62. Lopez-Zuniga LO, Wolters P, Davis S, Weldekidan T, Kolkman JM, Nelson R, et al. Using maize chromosome segment substitution line populations for the identification of loci associated with multiple disease resistance. *Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, 2019; 9: 189-201.
63. Rahman H, Pekic S, Lazic-Jancic V, Quarrie SA, Shah SM, Pervez A, et al. Molecular mapping of quantitative trait loci for drought tolerance in maize plants. *Genet Mol Res*, 2011; 10: 889-901.
64. García-Lara S, Burt AJ, Arnason JT, Bergvinson DJ. QTL mapping of tropical maize grain components associated with maize weevil resistance. *Crop Science*, 2010; 50: 815-825.
65. Berger DK, Carstens M, Korsman JN, Middleton F, Kloppers FJ, Tongoona P, et al. Mapping QTL conferring resistance in maize to gray leaf spot disease caused by *Cercospora zeina*. *BMC genetics*, 2014; 15: 1-12.
66. Coelho CDJ, Gardingo JR, Almeida MCD, Matiello RR. Mapping of QTL for aluminum tolerance in tropical maize. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology*, 2019; 19: 86-94.
67. Zheng ZP, Liu XH. QTL identification of ear leaf morphometric traits under different nitrogen regimes in maize. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 2013; 12: 4342-4351.
68. Zwonitzer JC, Coles ND, Krakowsky MD, Arellano C, Holland JB, McMullen MD, et al. Mapping resistance quantitative trait loci for three foliar diseases in a maize recombinant inbred line population—evidence for multiple disease resistance?. *Phytopathology*, 2010; 100: 72-79.