

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_103129
Title of the Manuscript:	Low prevalence and risk factors related to 6 weeks HIV-1 Mother to Child Transmission under Option B+ at military hospitals of Douala and Yaounde, and at the Bertoua regional hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Factors related to mother to child transmission is an important issue. Evaluating the impact of option B+ is the way to know what kind of policies to be brought to HIV/AIDS</p> <p>2. I propose an alternative title for the article "risk factors related to HIV-1 Mother to Child Transmission under Option B+ in Cameroon Hospitals</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>4. Sections and subsections are appropriate (titles)</p> <p>5. The manuscript is not scientifically correct : a) The design of this study is not suitable for a case control study; b) In methods section, authors said they used logistic regression but nothing shows it in results section; c) Comparisons made using p-value in table 1 and 2 compared things that are not comparable; d) In figure 2-a) legend is false Blue color refer to HIV- et red color refer to HIV+; e) Table 3 is not suitable for logistic regression results; f) some comparisons are not suitable in table 3; g) We cannot use case control study to determine prevalence.</p> <p>6. Nothing to say about references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language quality is OK	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fidèle Bakiono
Department, University & Country	Burkina Faso