

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_102209
Title of the Manuscript:	Gallium 68-Fibroblast Activation Protein Inhibitor - PET/CT IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF NEUROCYSTICERCOSIS.
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, I consider the manuscript important to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. I suggest modifying the title, for example: Gallium-68-Fibroblast Activation Protein Inhibitor - PET/CT IMPROVES DIAGNOSIS OF NEUROCYSTICERCOSIS</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive, but must be completed with the Objective and Conclusion of the study. Key words are not included.</p> <p>4. Introduction: Complete with Objective (s). Review and change throughout the manuscript: Place the point after the reference and Figure number. It is written like this: "... with tumor invasion and metastasis. (1)", it should be like this: with tumor invasion and metastasis (1). The second paragraph of Discussion is very long, divide it in at least 2 parts. In Discussion: "... neoplastic cells in its interior. it can be ..." is another sentence, you should write It and not "it". Is <i>Taenia solium</i>, not is: Taenia Solium. Conclusions: Do not divide the Conclusion into 5 points, write a single Conclusion that evaluates the study and shows perspectives of its application. <i>This should briefly state the main findings of the study.</i> In Figure 2, it is necessary to order or complete the description of A, B and C.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, but it needs to be improved.</p> <p>6. The references should be standardized, some are indicating the volume (number) in bold and others are not (1-3, others). Gong Weidong; Qiu Shuang; Zheng Shiyu; Liu Wei; Zhang Chunyin. Ga68-FAPI PET/CT Imaging of Intracranial Syphilitic Gumma: Comparison to 18F-FDG PET/CT. Clinical Nuclear Medicine 48(3):p 273-75, 2023. Year is here?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, although I found this word: localisation, when the correct is: localization.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I suggest that the authors review the Guide found in the journal.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Libertad Alzamora Gonzales
Department, University & Country	Perú